

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,718	01/18/2001		Patrick H. Wnek	5887.00	7564
20686	7590	05/10/2002			
DORSEY &		NEY, LLP	EXAMINER		
370 SEVEN	TEENTH		CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J		
DENVER, CO 80202-5647				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3727	
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/764,718	WNEK, PATRICK H.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a region. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
1	This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for a							
closed in accordance with the practice ur Disposition of Claims	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16</u> is/are pending in the	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witl							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required	, ,						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in Ap	pplication No					
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	-						
a) The translation of the foreign language	e provisional application has be	en received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor Attachment(s)	nesuc priority under 33 O.S.C. (33 120 dilu/01 121.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 12					

Application/Control Number: 09/764,718

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keiding.

Keiding discloses a nestable container made at least partially of paperboard, the inner surface of the side wall includes an inwardly projecting bulge (4 or the portion of the inner surface above channel 6) and the outer surface of the side wall includes an outwardly projecting bulge (one of the bulges 2). The outwardly projecting bulges cooperate with the inwardly projecting bulge of an underlying nested container to encourage aligned nesting of the containers.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Newman et al. (Newman) in view of Keiding.

Newman discloses a nestable container with an inwardly projecting ring-like bulge as shoulder (11) and an outwardly projecting ring-like bulge as the combination of ribs (10) and the portion (13) of the side wall that projects outwardly of portion (5) of the side wall, the lower end of ribs (10) cooperate with the shoulder (11) of an underlying nested container to encourage aligned nesting of the containers. Newman discloses the invention except for the paperboard

Application/Control Number: 09/764,718

Art Unit: 3727

material. Keiding teaches paperboard material. It would have been obvious to replace the plastic material with paperboard material in order to reduce material costs.

For claim 16, the combination discloses the invention except for the microwave susceptor layer. Microwave susceptor layers are well known. It would have been obvious to add a microwave susceptor layer in order to cook the food contents of the container.

Claims 1-8, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petitto in view of Keiding.

Petitto discloses the invention except for the container being made of paperboard.

Keiding teaches paperboard material. It would have been obvious to replace the plastic material with paperboard material in order to reduce material costs.

For claim 16, the combination discloses the invention except for the microwave susceptor layer. Microwave susceptor layers are well known. It would have been obvious to add a microwave susceptor layer in order to cook the food contents of the container.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keiding in view of Sorensen.

Keiding discloses the invention except for the outwardly projecting bulge being horizontally aligned with the inwardly projecting bulge. Sorensen teaches a nestable container with an outwardly projecting ring-like bulge defined by ledge (18) and ribs (30) which project outwardly from lower wall section (24) and an inwardly projecting ring-like bulge defined by ledge (18) and ribs (28) projecting inwardly from upper wall section (20). It would have been obvious to modify the bulge/rib construction of Keiding to have Sorensen's arrangement in order

Application/Control Number: 09/764,718

Art Unit: 3727

to align the bulges so that the amount of not overlapped or not nested space is minimized to compactly nest the containers and nest more containers in less space.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keiding.

Keiding discloses the invention except for the microwave susceptor layer. Microwave susceptor layers are well known. It would have been obvious to add a microwave susceptor layer in order to cook the food contents of the container.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9302. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner

Any inquiry concerning this communication of earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is (703) 308-1035.

Stephen Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

May 7, 2002